IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
SECOND APPEAL NO.1582 OF 2005

Anandi Maruti Parab ...Appellant
vs.
Rajaram Vishnu Parab & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Sachindra B.Shetye for the Appellant
Mr.S.M.Railkar for Respondent Nos.1 and 2

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATED: MARCH 16, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocates for the parties. Leave to amend Memorandum of Appeal. Amendment to be carried out within a period of two weeks from today.

2. The Appellant is the original Plaintiff. The Appellant filed a suit for perpetual injunction. The suit was dismissed by the Trial Court. The Appeal preferred by the Appellant has been dismissed by the Appellate Court.

3. The Courts below have recorded a concurrent finding of fact that the Appellant has failed to prove exclusive possession over the suit land. The Courts below also recorded a finding that the Respondents are the co-owners of the property along with the Appellant.

4. The findings of fact recorded by the Courts below are based on oral and documentary evidence on record. There is no perversity in the findings of the Courts below. Second Appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Judge.